


Computer Graphics II: Rendering

CSE 168 [Spr 25], Lecture 17: Image-Based Rendering
Ravi Ramamoorthi

<http://viscomp.ucsd.edu/classes/cse168/sp25>



1

To Do

- Project proposals due tomorrow (May 28)
- Final Projects due Jun 10
- PLEASE FILL OUT SET EVALUATIONS!!
- KEEP WORKING HARD


2

Motivation for Lecture

- Image-Based Rendering major new idea in graphics in past 25 years
- Many of the rendering methods, especially precomputed techniques borrow from it
- And many methods use measured data
- Also, images are an important source for rendering
- Sampled data rapidly becoming popular
- Core IBR problem of view synthesis/light fields renewed popularity (VR other applications)

3

Traditional Modeling and Rendering



For Photorealism:

Modeling is Hard

Rendering is Slow



Next few slides courtesy Paul Debevec: SIGGRAPH 99 course notes

4




Can we model and render this?


What do we want to do with the model?

5

Image-Based Modeling and Rendering



6




7

IBR: Pros and Cons

- Advantages
 - Easy to capture images: photorealistic by definition
 - Simple, universal representation
 - Often bypass geometry estimation?
 - Independent of scene complexity?
- Disadvantages
 - WYSIWYG but also WYSIAYG
 - Explosion of data as flexibility increased
 - Often discards intrinsic structure of model?
- Today, IBR-type methods also often used in synthetic rendering (e.g. real-time rendering PRT)
 - General concept of data-driven graphics, appearance
 - Also, data-driven geometry, animation, simulation
 - Synchronized light field cameras for image capture

8




9


IBR: A brief history

- Texture maps, bump maps, environment maps [70s]
- Poggio MIT 90s: Faces, image-based analysis/synthesis
- Mid-Late 90s
 - Chen and Williams 93, View Interpolation [Images+depth]
 - Chen 95 Quicktime VR [Images from many viewpoints]
 - McMillan and Bishop 95 Plenoptic Modeling [Images w disparity]
 - Gortler et al., Levoy and Hanrahan 96 Light Fields [4D]
 - Shade et al. 98 Layered Depth Images [2.5D]
 - Debevec et al. 00 Reflectance Field [4D]
 - Inverse rendering (Marschner, Sato, Yu, Boivin, ...)
- Today: IBR hasn't replaced conventional rendering, but has brought sampled and data-driven representations to graphics

10



11



12

Outline

- Overview of IBR
- Basic approaches
 - *Image Warping*
 - [2D + depth. Requires correspondence/disparity]
 - Light Fields [4D]
 - Survey of some early work

13

 **Images as a Collection of Rays**




An image is a subset of the rays seen from a given point
- this "space" of rays occupies two dimensions

Warping slides courtesy Leonard McMillan, SIGGRAPH 99 course notes

14

 **The Plenoptic Function**

✓ The set of rays seen from all points ...



$$p = P(\theta, \phi, x, y, z, \lambda, t)$$

15

 **Image-based rendering is about**

... reconstructing a plenoptic function from a set of samples taken from it.




✓ Ignoring time, and selecting a discrete set of wavelengths gives a 5-D plenoptic function

16

 **Where to Begin?**

✓ Pinhole camera model


- Defines a mapping from image points to rays in space



17

 **Mapping from Rays to Points**

✓ Simple Derivation




$$P = \begin{bmatrix} u_x & v_x & o_x \\ u_y & v_y & o_y \\ u_z & v_z & o_z \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\dot{X} = \dot{C} + t \vec{P} x$$

18

 **Correspondence**



$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{C}_2 + t_2 P_2 \vec{x}_2 &= \hat{C}_1 + t_1 P_1 \vec{x}_1 \\
 t_2 P_2 \vec{x}_2 &= \hat{C}_1 - \hat{C}_2 + t_1 P_1 \vec{x}_1 \\
 t_2 \vec{x}_2 &= P_2^{-1}(\hat{C}_1 - \hat{C}_2) + t_1 P_2^{-1} P_1 \vec{x}_1 \\
 \frac{t_2}{t_1} \vec{x}_2 &= \frac{1}{t_1} P_2^{-1}(\hat{C}_1 - \hat{C}_2) + P_2^{-1} P_1 \vec{x}_1 \\
 \vec{x}_2 &\triangleq \underbrace{\frac{1}{t_1} P_2^{-1}(\hat{C}_1 - \hat{C}_2)}_{\vec{q}_2} + \underbrace{P_2^{-1} P_1 \vec{x}_1}_{\vec{d}_2}
 \end{aligned}$$

19

 **Warping in Action**


✓ A 3D Warp



20

 **Visibility**

✓ The warping equation determines where points go...




... but that is not sufficient

21

 **Partition Reference Image**


✓ Project the desired center-of-projection onto the reference image



22

 **Enumeration**


- ✓ Drawing toward the projected point guarantees an *occlusion compatible* ordering
- ✓ Ordering is consistent with a painter's algorithm
- ✓ Independent of the scene's contents
- ✓ Easily generalized to other viewing surfaces
- ✓ No auxiliary information required



23

 **Reconstruction**

- ✓ Typical images are discrete, not continuous
- ✓ An image can be formed by different geometries



24

Outline

- Overview of IBR
- Basic approaches
 - Image Warping
 - [2D + depth. Requires correspondence/disparity]
 - Light Fields [4D]
 - Survey of some early work

25

Light Field Rendering


Marc Levoy Pat Hanrahan



Computer Science Department
Stanford University

26

Apple's QuickTime VR



27

Generating New Views

Problem: fixed vantage point/center

One Solution: view interpolation

- Interpolating between range images (Chen and Williams, 1993)
- Correspondences and epipolar analysis (McMillan and Bishop, 1995)
- Requires depths or correspondences:
 - must be extracted from acquired imagery
 - relatively expensive and error-prone morph

28

Light Fields

Gershun's and Moon's idea of a light field:
Radiance as a function of a ray or line: $L(x, y, z, \theta, \phi)$


- In "free space" (no occluders) 5D reduces to 4D
 - Exterior of the convex hull of an object
 - Interior of an environment
- Images are 2D slices
 - Insert acquired imagery
 - Extract image from a given viewpoint

29


4D Light Field




30




31




32




33



34




35



36


Layered Depth Images [Shade 98]



Slide from Agrawala, Ramamoorthi, Heinrich, Moll, SIGGRAPH 2000.


37

Layered Depth Images [Shade 98]



38

Layered Depth Images [Shade 98]



39



40

Surface Light Fields

- Miller 98, Nishino 99, Wood 00
- Reflected light field (lumisphere) on surface
- Explicit geometry as against light fields. Easier compress



41


Acquiring Reflectance Field of Human Face [Debevec et al. SIGGRAPH 00]

Illuminate subject from many incident directions



42

Example Images



43

Outline

- Overview of IBR
- Basic approaches
 - Image Warping
 - [2D + depth. Requires correspondence/disparity]
 - Light Fields [4D]
 - *Survey of some recent work*
 - *Sampled data representations*

44

Conclusion (my views)

- IBR initially spurred great excitement: revolutionize pipeline
- But, IBR in pure form not really practical
 - WYSIAYG
 - Explosion as increase dimensions (8D transfer function)
 - Good compression, flexibility needs at least implicit geometry/BRDF
- Real future is sampled representations, data-driven method
 - Acquire (synthetic or real) data
 - Good representations for interpolation, fast rendering
 - Much of visual appearance, graphics moving in this direction
- Understand from Signal-Processing Viewpoint
 - Sampling rates, reconstruction filters
 - Factored representations, Fourier analysis
- Light Fields fundamental in many ways, including imaging
 - *Renewed interest in view synthesis (Mildenhall et al. SIG 19, NeRFs)*

45

Virtual Experiences of Real-World Scenes



46

Input Images



47

Output Light Field




48

Local Light Field Fusion



49

Neural Radiance Fields



50