Computer Graphics II: Rendering

CSE 168 [Spr 20], Lecture 1: Overview and Ray Tracing

Ravi Ramamoorthi

http://viscomp.ucsd.edu/classes/cse168/sp20



Goals

- **Systems:** Write a modern 3D image synthesis program (path tracer with importance sampling)
- **Theory:** Mathematical aspects and algorithms underlying modern physically-based rendering
- **Topics:** Other modern topics like image-based, real-time, precomputed, volumetric rendering
- This course is ***not*** about the specifics of 3D rendering software like PBRT, Mitsuba etc. New for this year, we optionally encourage OptiX, a real-time raytracing API for NVIDIA GPUs

Instructor

Ravi Ramamoorthi http://www.cs.ucsd.edu/~ravir

- PhD Stanford, 2002 [with Pat Hanrahan, 2020 Turing Award] "*Spherical Harmonic Lighting*" widely used in games (e.g. Halo series), movies (e.g. Avatar), etc. (Adobe, …)
- At Columbia 2002-2008, UC Berkeley 2009-2014
- *"Monte Carlo denoising"* inspired raytracing offline, real-time
- At UCSD since Jul 2014: Director, Center for Visual Computing
- Awards for research: White House PECASE (2008), SIGGRAPH Significant New Researcher (2007), ACM Fellow
- https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qpvCXqXGe7I
- Computer Graphics online MOOC (CSE 167x) finalist for two edX Prizes. Will use edX edge, auto-feedback for 168, and also try to record lectures (even if not full MOOC quality)

Course Staff

- Ravi Ramamoorthi, ravir@cs.ucsd.edu
- Teaching Assistants:
  - Andrew Bauer (will also maintain feedback servers) [a1bauer@eng.ucsd.edu]
  - Guangyan Cai [g5cai@ucsd.edu]
  - Please see piazza for their zoom ids

Rendering: 1960s (visibility)

- Roberts (1963), Appel (1967) - hidden-line algorithms
- Warnock (1969), Watkins (1970) - hidden-surface
- Sutherland (1974) - visibility = sorting

Images from FvDFH, Pixar's Shutterbug
Slide ideas for history of Rendering courtesy Marc Levoy

Rendering: 1970s (lighting)

1970s - raster graphics

- Gouraud (1971) - diffuse lighting, Phong (1974) - specular lighting
- Blinn (1974) - curved surfaces, texture
- Catmull (1974) - Z-buffer algorithm (2020 Turing Award)



Rendering (1980s, 90s: Global Illumination)

early 1980s - global illumination

- Whitted (1980) - ray tracing
- Goral, Torrance et al. (1984) radiosity
- Kajiya (1986) - the rendering equation, path tracing (this is what this course is about, modern rendering)



Why Study Computer Graphics Rendering?

- Applications (Movies, Games, Digital Advertising, Lighting Simulation, Digital Humans, Virtual Reality)
- Fundamental Intellectual Challenges
  - Create *photorealistic* virtual world
  - Understand physics *and* computation of light transport
  - Physically-based rendering has replaced ad-hoc approaches in industry (offline ~ 2011, real-time ~2018)
- Beautiful Imagery: Realistic Computer Graphics
  - 2020 Turing Award just given for CGI in Filmmaking
- Assume taken CSE 167 or equivalent (+done well)
  - This is a challenging course, work starts immediately
  - (First 2 weeks on raytracing may be review for some)

Image Synthesis Examples



Collage from 2007

From UCB CS 294 a decade ago

CSE 168 Contest 2007: Butterfly



Mies House: Swimming Pool



Logistics

- Website http://viscomp.ucsd.edu/classes/cse168/sp20 has most of the information (look at it carefully)
- *We will be leveraging MOOC infrastructure in a SPOC*
  - Please sign up for account at edX edge, join course: **DEMO**
  - edX edge is compulsory for most assignments, feedback systems
  - *Must still submit "official" CSE 168 assignment (see website)*
  - Please do ask us if you are confused; we are here to help
  - No required texts; optional PBRT book, Digital Image Synthesis
  - Office hours: after class (11-12) but change zoom ID
- Course newsgroup on Piazza
- Website for late, collaboration policy (groups of 2), etc
  - Obviously, will relax "no late" policy as needed, but give notice
- Do try to attend class sessions on zoom (will record, post)
- Questions? (Try various ways in zoom, unmute, chat, raise hands etc)

This is a Modernized Course

- Teach Modern Physically-Based Rendering and Path Tracing, as used in industry (Prof. consulted with Pixar on change to physically-based shading, importance sampling in 2011, written many key papers; TA has worked at Pixar)
- Emphasis on step-by-step development, get it right (lots of subtle math, compare to reference solutions)
- Focus on offline but discuss real-time, image-based, PRT
- Homework starts right away, due in 2 weeks
- New developments: NVIDIA OptiX ray-tracing API like OpenGL, since 2018 RTX cards 10G rays/second Video
- Encourage (but optional) use of OptiX. If you use this, setup yourself but basic skeleton provided. Or really slow.

Innovation: Feedback Servers

- Feedback/Grading servers for all homeworks
- Submit images, compare to original
  - Program generates difference images, report url
  - Can get feedback multiple times; submit final url
  - All run on edX edge
- "Feedback" not necessarily grading
  - Can run extra test cases, look at code, grade fairly
  - But use of feedback servers/edX edge is mandatory
  - Experimental for this course; unlike 167 results not deterministic, will give information re noise/variance
  - Can use any laptop/desktop, do it offline or in OptiX
- Will test out with HW 1 images

Demo of edX edge, Feedbacks



Workload

- Lots of fun, rewarding but may involve significant work
  - We will do our best to be supportive under the circumstances
- 5 programming projects; almost all are time-consuming. Can be done in groups of two. START EARLY !!
- Graded entirely on programming, weights on website
  - Ignore weighting on edX site; we weight as on CSE 168 site
- Prerequisites: CSE 167, did well, enjoyed it
- First homework last assignment in my CSE 167
  - Little bit of sink or swim to continue in course (but we will also provide OptiX, embree references after assignment is due)
  - But not everyone has done a raytracer before, some additional requirements for those who have already done one
- Should be a difficult, but fun and rewarding course

Quick Inclusion Note

Since I do occasionally get asked this question:

- You are welcome to take this course if color-blind
  - Let me know if I create too many red-green metamers
  - Some of the best-known computer graphics researchers have been color-blind (ask re some stories)
- And for most other vision issues
  - We've even had computer graphics award winners who have been extremely nearsighted (legally blind)

CSE 168 is only a first step

- *If you enjoy CSE 168 and do well*:
- In Spring: CSE 190 (VR course; Schulze)
- Next winter: CSE 165 (3DUI), 169 (Animation)
- Graduate: CSE 274 (Topics), many 291s

To Do

- Make sure zoom works
- Look at website
- Various policies for course. E-mail if confused.
- Sign up for edX edge, Piazza, etc.
- Skim assignments if you want. All are ready
- Assignment 1, Due Apr 13 (see website).
- Any questions?
- Start now with raytracing lecture

Effects needed for Realism

- (Soft) Shadows
- Reflections (Mirrors and Glossy)
- Transparency (Water, Glass)
- Interreflections (Color Bleeding)
- Complex Illumination (Natural, Area Light)
- Realistic Materials (Velvet, Paints, Glass)
- And many more



Image courtesy Paul Heckbert 1983

Ray Tracing

- Different Approach to Image Synthesis as compared to Hardware pipeline (OpenGL)
- Pixel by Pixel instead of Object by Object
- Easy to compute shadows/transparency/etc

Outline

- *History*
- Basic Ray Casting (instead of rasterization)
  - Comparison to hardware scan conversion
- Shadows / Reflections (core algorithm)
- Optimizations
- Current Research

Ray Tracing: History

- Appel 68
- Whitted 80 [recursive ray tracing]
  - Landmark in computer graphics
- Lots of work on various geometric primitives
- Lots of work on accelerations
- Current Research
  - Real-Time raytracing (historically, slow technique)
  - Ray tracing architecture

Ray Tracing History

Ray Tracing in Computer Graphics

Appel 1968 - Ray casting

1. Generate an image by sending one ray per pixel

2. Check for shadows by sending a ray to the light



Ray Tracing History

Ray Tracing in Computer Graphics

"An improved Illumination model for shaded display," T. Whitted, CACM 1980

Resolution:
512 x 512
Time:
VAX 11/780 (1979)
74 min.
PC (2006)
6 sec.



Spheres and Checkerboard, T. Whitted, 1979

From SIGGRAPH 18

Real Photo: Instructor and Turner Whitted at SIGGRAPH 18

Outline

- History
- *Basic Ray Casting (instead of rasterization)*
  - Comparison to hardware scan conversion
- Shadows / Reflections (core algorithm)
- Optimizations
- Current Research

Ray Casting

Produce same images as with OpenGL
- Visibility per pixel instead of Z-buffer
- Find nearest object by shooting rays into scene
- Shade it as in standard OpenGL

Ray Casting



Multiple intersections calculated for ray (as does OpenGL)

Comparison to hardware scan-line

- Per-pixel evaluation, per-pixel rays (not scan-convert each object). On face of it, costly
- But good for walkthroughs of extremely large models (amortize preprocessing, low complexity)
- More complex shading, lighting effects possible

Outline in Code

```
Image Raytrace (Camera cam, Scene scene, int width, int height)
{
    Image image = new Image (width, height) ;
    for (int i = 0 ; i < height ; i++)
        for (int j = 0 ; j < width ; j++) {
            Ray ray = RayThruPixel (cam, i, j) ;
            Intersection hit = Intersect (ray, scene) ;
            image[i][j] = FindColor (hit) ;
            }
    return image ;
}
```

Finding Ray Direction

- Goal is to find ray direction for given pixel i and j
- Many ways to approach problem
  - Objects in world coord, find dirn of each ray (we do this)
  - Camera in canonical frame, transform objects (OpenGL)
- Basic idea
  - Ray has origin (camera center) and direction
  - Find direction given camera params and i and j
- Camera params as in gluLookAt
  - Lookfrom[3], LookAt[3], up[3], fov

Similar to gluLookAt derivation

- gluLookAt(eyex, eyey, eyez, centerx, centery, centerz, upx, upy, upz)
- Camera at eye, looking at center, with up direction being up



From 167 lecture on deriving gluLookAt

Constructing a coordinate frame?

We want to associate **w** with **a**, and **v** with **b**

- But **a** and **b** are neither orthogonal nor unit norm
- And we also need to find **u**

$$w = \frac{a}{\|a\|}$$

$$u = \frac{b \times w}{\|b \times w\|}$$

$$v = w \times u$$

From 167 basic math lecture - Vectors: Orthonormal Basis Frames

Camera coordinate frame

$$w = \frac{a}{\|a\|} \qquad u = \frac{b \times w}{\|b \times w\|} \qquad v = w \times u$$

- We want to position camera at origin, looking down –Z dirn
- Hence, vector **a** is given by **eye** – **center**
- The vector **b** is simply the **up** vector



Canonical viewing geometry



$$ray = eye + t\frac{\alpha u + \beta v - w}{|\alpha u + \beta v - w|}$$

$$\alpha = \tan\left(\frac{fovx}{2}\right) \times \left(\frac{j - (width/2)}{width/2}\right) \qquad \beta = \tan\left(\frac{fovy}{2}\right) \times \left(\frac{(height/2) - i}{height/2}\right)$$

Outline in Code

```
Image Raytrace (Camera cam, Scene scene, int width, int height)
{
    Image image = new Image (width, height) ;
    for (int i = 0 ; i < height ; i++)
        for (int j = 0 ; j < width ; j++) {
            Ray ray = RayThruPixel (cam, i, j) ;
            Intersection hit = Intersect (ray, scene) ;
            image[i][j] = FindColor (hit) ;
            }
    return image ;
}
```

Ray/Object Intersections

- Heart of Ray Tracer
  - One of the main initial research areas
  - Optimized routines for wide variety of primitives
- Various types of info
  - Shadow rays: Intersection/No Intersection
  - Primary rays: Point of intersection, material, normals
  - Texture coordinates
- Work out examples
  - Triangle, sphere, polygon, general implicit surface

Ray-Sphere Intersection

$$ray \equiv \vec{P} = \vec{P}_0 + \vec{P}_1 t$$

$$sphere \equiv (\vec{P} - \vec{C}) \bullet (\vec{P} - \vec{C}) - r^2 = 0$$



Ray-Sphere Intersection

$$ray \equiv \vec{P} = \vec{P}_0 + \vec{P}_1 t$$

$$sphere \equiv (\vec{P} - \vec{C}) \bullet (\vec{P} - \vec{C}) - r^2 = 0$$

Substitute

$$ray \equiv \vec{P} = \vec{P}_0 + \vec{P}_1 t$$

$$sphere \equiv (\vec{P}_0 + \vec{P}_1 t - \vec{C}) \bullet (\vec{P}_0 + \vec{P}_1 t - \vec{C}) - r^2 = 0$$

Simplify

$$t^2(\vec{P}_1 \bullet \vec{P}_1) + 2t\,\vec{P}_1 \bullet (\vec{P}_0 - \vec{C}) + (\vec{P}_0 - \vec{C}) \bullet (\vec{P}_0 - \vec{C}) - r^2 = 0$$

Ray-Sphere Intersection

$$t^2(\vec{P}_1 \bullet \vec{P}_1) + 2t\,\vec{P}_1 \bullet (\vec{P}_0 - \vec{C}) + (\vec{P}_0 - \vec{C}) \bullet (\vec{P}_0 - \vec{C}) - r^2 = 0$$

Solve quadratic equations for t

- 2 real positive roots: pick smaller root
- Both roots same: tangent to sphere
- One positive, one negative root: ray origin inside sphere (pick + root)
- Complex roots: no intersection (check discriminant of equation first)

Ray-Sphere Intersection

- Intersection point: $ray \equiv \vec{P} = \vec{P}_0 + \vec{P}_1 t$
- Normal (for sphere, this is same as coordinates in sphere frame of reference, useful other tasks)

$$normal = \frac{\vec{P} - \vec{C}}{\left|\vec{P} - \vec{C}\right|}$$

Ray-Triangle Intersection

- One approach: Ray-Plane intersection, then check if inside triangle
- Plane equation:

$$plane \equiv \vec{P} \bullet \vec{n} - \vec{A} \bullet \vec{n} = 0$$



$$n = \frac{(C-A)\times(B-A)}{\left|(C-A)\times(B-A)\right|}$$

Ray-Triangle Intersection

- One approach: Ray-Plane intersection, then check if inside triangle
- Plane equation:

$$plane \equiv \vec{P} \bullet \vec{n} - \vec{A} \bullet \vec{n} = 0$$

- Combine with ray equation:

$$ray \equiv \vec{P} = \vec{P}_0 + \vec{P}_1 t$$

$$(\vec{P}_0 + \vec{P}_1 t) \bullet \vec{n} = \vec{A} \bullet \vec{n}$$

$$t = \frac{\vec{A} \bullet \vec{n} - \vec{P}_0 \bullet \vec{n}}{\vec{P}_1 \bullet \vec{n}}$$



$$n = \frac{(C-A)\times(B-A)}{\left|(C-A)\times(B-A)\right|}$$

Ray inside Triangle

- Once intersect with plane, still need to find if in triangle
- Many possibilities for triangles, general polygons (point in polygon tests)
- We find parametrically [barycentric coordinates]. Also useful for other applications (texture mapping)



$$P = \alpha A + \beta B + \gamma C$$
$$\alpha \ge 0, \beta \ge 0, \gamma \ge 0$$
$$\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 1$$

Ray inside Triangle



$$P = \alpha A + \beta B + \gamma C$$
$$\alpha \ge 0, \beta \ge 0, \gamma \ge 0$$
$$\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 1$$

$$P - A = \beta(B-A) + \gamma(C-A)$$

$$0 \le \beta \le 1\ ,\ 0 \le \gamma \le 1$$

$$\beta + \gamma \le 1$$

Other primitives

- Much early work in ray tracing focused on ray-primitive intersection tests
- Cones, cylinders, ellipsoids
- Boxes (especially useful for bounding boxes)
- General planar polygons
- Many more
- Consult chapter in Glassner (handed out) for more details and possible extra credit

Ray Scene Intersection

```
Intersection FindIntersection(Ray ray, Scene scene)
{
    min_t = infinity
    min_primitive = NULL
    For each primitive in scene {
        t = Intersect(ray, primitive);
        if (t > 0 && t < min_t) then
            min_primitive = primitive
            min_t = t
        }
    }
    return Intersection(min_t, min_primitive)
}
```



Transformed Objects

- E.g. transform sphere into ellipsoid
- Could develop routine to trace ellipsoid (compute parameters after transformation)
- May be useful for triangles, since triangle after transformation is still a triangle in any case
- But can also use original optimized routines

Ray-Tracing Transformed Objects

We have an optimized ray-sphere test
- But we want to ray trace an ellipsoid…

Solution: Ellipsoid transforms sphere
- Apply inverse transform to ray, use ray-sphere
- Allows for instancing (traffic jam of cars)
- Same idea for other primitives

Transformed Objects

- Consider a general 4x4 transform M
  - Will need to implement matrix stacks like in OpenGL
- Apply inverse transform M^{-1} to ray
  - Locations stored and transform in homogeneous coordinates
  - Vectors (ray directions) have homogeneous coordinate set to 0 [so there is no action because of translations]
- Do standard ray-surface intersection as modified
- Transform intersection back to actual coordinates
  - Intersection point p transforms as Mp
  - Distance to intersection if used may need recalculation
  - Normals n transform as $M^{-t}n$. Do all this before lighting

Outline

- History
- Basic Ray Casting (instead of rasterization)
  - Comparison to hardware scan conversion
- *Shadows / Reflections (core algorithm)*
- Optimizations
- Current Research

Shadows



Shadows: Numerical Issues

- Numerical inaccuracy may cause intersection to be below surface (effect exaggerated in figure)
- Causing surface to incorrectly shadow itself
- Move a little towards light before shooting shadow ray



Outline in Code

```
Image Raytrace (Camera cam, Scene scene, int width, int height)
{
    Image image = new Image (width, height) ;
    for (int i = 0 ; i < height ; i++)
        for (int j = 0 ; j < width ; j++) {
            Ray ray = RayThruPixel (cam, i, j) ;
            Intersection hit = Intersect (ray, scene) ;
            image[i][j] = FindColor (hit) ;
            }
    return image ;
}
```

Lighting Model

- Similar to OpenGL
- Lighting model parameters (global)
  - Ambient r g b
  - Attenuation const linear quadratic

$$L = \frac{L_0}{const + lin * d + quad * d^2}$$

- Per light model parameters
  - Directional light (direction, RGB parameters)
  - Point light (location, RGB parameters)

Material Model

- Diffuse reflectance (r g b)
- Specular reflectance (r g b)
- Shininess s
- Emission (r g b)
- All as in OpenGL

Shading Model

$$I = K_a + K_e + \sum_{i=1}^{n} V_i L_i (K_d \max(l_i \bullet n, 0) + K_s (\max(h_i \bullet n, 0))^s)$$

- Global ambient term, emission from material
- For each light, diffuse specular terms
- Note visibility/shadowing for each light (not in OpenGL)
- Evaluated per pixel per light (not per vertex)

Mirror Reflections/Refractions



Generate reflected ray in mirror direction,
Get reflections and refractions of objects

Recursive Ray Tracing

For each pixel
- Trace Primary Eye Ray, find intersection
- Trace Secondary Shadow Ray(s) to all light(s)
  - Color = Visible ? Illumination Model : 0 ;
- Trace Reflected Ray
  - Color += reflectivity * Color of reflected ray

Recursive Shading Model

$$I = K_a + K_e + \sum_{i=1}^{n} V_i L_i (K_d \max(l_i \bullet n, 0) + K_s (\max(h_i \bullet n, 0))^s) + K_s I_R + K_T I_T$$

- Highlighted terms are recursive specularities [mirror reflections] and transmission (latter is extra credit)
- Trace secondary rays for mirror reflections and refractions, include contribution in lighting model
- GetColor calls RayTrace recursively (the I values in equation above of secondary rays are obtained by recursive calls)

Problems with Recursion

- Reflection rays may be traced forever
- Generally, set maximum recursion depth
- Same for transmitted rays (take refraction into account)



Turner Whitted 1980

Effects needed for Realism

- (Soft) Shadows
- Reflections (Mirrors and Glossy)
- Transparency (Water, Glass)
- Interreflections (Color Bleeding)
- Complex Illumination (Natural, Area Light)
- Realistic Materials (Velvet, Paints, Glass)

Discussed in this lecture
Not discussed but possible with distribution ray tracing
Hard (but not impossible) with ray tracing; radiosity methods
All are possible with path tracing developed in this course

Some basic add ons

- Area light sources and soft shadows: break into grid of n x n point lights
  - Use jittering: Randomize direction of shadow ray within small box for given light source direction
  - Jittering also useful for antialiasing shadows when shooting primary rays
- More complex reflectance models
  - Simply update shading model
  - But at present, we can handle only mirror global illumination calculations
- Some of these required for those who have already done a raytracer

Outline

- History
- Basic Ray Casting (instead of rasterization)
  - Comparison to hardware scan conversion
- Shadows / Reflections (core algorithm)
- *Optimizations*
- Current Research

Acceleration

Testing each object for each ray is slow

- Fewer Rays
  - Adaptive sampling, depth control
- Generalized Rays
  - Beam tracing, cone tracing, pencil tracing etc.
- Faster Intersections
  - Optimized Ray-Object Intersections
  - ***Fewer Intersections***

Acceleration Structures

Bounding boxes (possibly hierarchical)

If no intersection bounding box, needn't check objects



Spatial Hierarchies (Oct-trees, kd trees, BSP trees)

Ray Tracing Acceleration Structures

- Bounding Volume Hierarchies (BVH)
- Uniform Spatial Subdivision (Grids)
- Binary Space Partitioning (BSP Trees)
  - Axis-aligned often for ray tracing: kd-trees
- Conceptually simple, implementation a bit tricky
  - Lecture relatively high level: Start early
  - Remember that acceleration a small part of grade
  - But will struggle in future if developing in software

Bounding Volume Hierarchies 1

- Build hierarchy of bounding volumes
  - Bounding volume of interior node contains all children



Bounding Volume Hierarchies 2

- Use hierarchy to accelerate ray intersections
  - Intersect node contents only if hit bounding volume



Bounding Volume Hierarchies 3

- Sort hits & detect early termination

```
FindIntersection(Ray ray, Node node)
{
    // Find intersections with child node bounding volumes
    ...
    // Sort intersections front to back
    ...
    // Process intersections (checking for early termination)
    min_t = infinity;
    for each intersected child i {
        if (min_t < bv_t[i]) break;
        shape_t = FindIntersection(ray, child);
        if (shape_t < min_t) { min_t = shape_t;}
    }
    return min_t;
}
```

Acceleration Structures: Grids



Acceleration and Regular Grids

- Simplest acceleration, for example 5x5x5 grid
- For each grid cell, store overlapping triangles
- March ray along grid (need to be careful with this), test against each triangle in grid cell
- More sophisticated: kd-tree, oct-tree bsp-tree
- Or use (hierarchical) bounding boxes
- Try to implement some acceleration in HW

Uniform Grid: Problems

- Potential problem:
  - How choose suitable grid resolution?

Too little benefit if grid is too coarse

Too much cost if grid is too fine



Octree

- Construct adaptive grid over scene
  - Recursively subdivide box-shaped cells into 8 octants
  - Index primitives by overlaps with cells

Generally fewer cells



Octree traversal

- Trace rays through neighbor cells
  - Fewer cells
  - More complex neighbor finding

Trade-off fewer cells for more expensive traversal



Math of 2D Bounding Box Test

- Can you find a t in range

$t > 0$

$t_{x\min} \le t \le t_{x\max}$

$t_{y\min} \le t \le t_{y\max}$

if $t_{x\min} > t_{y\max}$ *OR* $t_{y\min} > t_{x\max}$

return false;

else

return true;



No intersection if x and y ranges don't overlap

Bounding Box Test

- Ray-Intersection is simple coordinate check
- Intricacies with test, see Shirley book
- Hierarchical Bounding Boxes



Hierarchical Bounding Box Test

- If ray hits root box
  - Intersect left subtree
  - Intersect right subtree
  - Merge intersections (find closest one)
- Standard hierarchical traversal
  - But caveat, since bounding boxes may overlap
- At leaf nodes, must intersect objects

Creating Bounding Volume Hierarchy

```
function bvh-node::create (object array A, int AXIS)
    N = A.length() ;
    if (N == 1) {left = A[0]; right = NULL; bbox = bound(A[0]);}
    else if (N == 2) {
        left = A[0] ; right = A[1] ;
        bbox = combine(bound(A[0]),bound(A[1])) ;
    else
        Find midpoint m of bounding box of A along AXIS
        Partition A into lists of size k and N-k around m
        left = new bvh-node (A[0…k],(AXIS+1) mod 3) ;
        right = new bvh-node(A[k+1…N-1],(AXIS+1) mod 3);
        bbox = combine (left -> bbox, right -> bbox) ;
```

From page 305 of Shirley book

Area Heuristics

- Instead of mid-point of bounding box, alternating axes, pick the axis and the location to split carefully
- The algorithm can test several splitting planes (at least 9 recommended) across x,y,z and chooses best one
- Area Heuristic: min $a_1 n_1 + a_2 n_2$ considering areas of each child box and number of primitives contained in each
- Longer for construction but better balanced
- Ideally speeds up raytracing *(in Optix BVH built in)*
- (Optional, but if interested read up on Surface Area Heuristic [SAH] and similar methods. Also see fast updates for animations, dynamic scenes)

Uniform Spatial Subdivision

- Different idea: Divide space rather than objects
- In BVH, each object is in one of two sibling nodes
  - A point in space may be inside both nodes
- In spatial subdivision, each space point in one node
  - But object may lie in multiple spatial nodes
- Simplest is uniform grid (have seen this already)
- Challenge is keeping all objects within cell
- And in traversing the grid

Traversal of Grid High Level

- Next Intersect Pt?
- Irreg. samp. pattern?
- But regular in planes
- Fast algo. possible
- (more on board)



BSP Trees

- Used for visibility and ray tracing
  - Book considers only axis-aligned splits for ray tracing
  - Sometimes called kd-tree for axis aligned
- Split space (binary space partition) along planes
- Fast queries and back-to-front (painter's) traversal
- Construction is conceptually simple
  - Select a plane as root of the sub-tree
  - Split into two children along this root
  - Random polygon for splitting plane (may need to split polygons that intersect it)

BSP slides courtesy Prof. O'Brien

Initial State



First Split



Second Split



Third Split



Fourth Split



Final BSP Tree



BSP Trees Cont'd

- Continue splitting until leaf nodes
- Visibility traversal in order
  - Child one
  - Root
  - Child two
- Child one chosen based on viewpoint
  - Same side of sub-tree as viewpoint
- BSP tree built once, used for all viewpoints

Other Accelerations

- Screen space coherence
  - Check last hit first
  - Beam tracing
  - Pencil tracing
  - Cone tracing
- Memory coherence
  - Large scenes
- Parallelism
  - Ray casting is "embarassingly parallelizable"
- etc.



Outline

- History
- Basic Ray Casting (instead of rasterization)
  - Comparison to hardware scan conversion
- Shadows / Reflections (core algorithm)
- Optimizations
- *Current Research*



Interactive Raytracing

- Ray tracing historically slow
- Now viable alternative for complex scenes
  - Key is sublinear complexity with acceleration; need not process all triangles in scene
- Allows many effects hard in hardware
- Today graphics hardware and software (NVIDIA Optix 6, RTX chips claim 10G rays per second).Video

Raytracing on Graphics Hardware

- Modern Programmable Hardware general streaming architecture
- Can map various elements of ray tracing
- Kernels like eye rays, intersect etc.
- In vertex or fragment programs
- Convergence between hardware, ray tracing

[Purcell et al. 2002, 2003]

http://graphics.stanford.edu/papers/photongfx